

Hartford Climate Advisory Committee
Climate Action Team Meeting
March 24, 2021
Meeting NOTES

Note: meeting notes were recorded, not formal minutes because there was no quorum of the CAC present.

Meeting Start Time: 5:03PM

Present: Erik Krauss, Ted Redmond (paleBLUEdot), Liane Avery, Carolyn Hooper, Toby Kravitz, Mary Hutchins, Charles Linder, Molly Smith, Aaron Lamperti, Meg MacLaury, Kyle MacLaury, John Reid, Bruce Riddle, Ron McGarvey, Laura Simon, Ariel Arwen, Jon Bouton, Ralph Hybels, Matt Osborn (Town staff, joined circa 6PM)

Recording: a video recording of the meeting can be found here:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aLK7AfHgeUo>

Public Comment: Ted asked if there were any members of the public in the meeting who had comments. There were none.

Meeting Goal & Prep for Breakout Groups

Ted provided an overview of the meeting goal: to begin the process of screening, refining, and scoring the action lists compiled by each sub-team by applying the screening criteria the team selected during the Feb 10 meeting.

Ted displayed the screening criteria on screen as a refresher and shared a link to the 3 criteria (support, impact/effectiveness, equity of implementation) and described the scoring process for each action. He also encouraged sub-teams to add notes/comments and make changes to action descriptions so they better fit the criteria and to attempt to eliminate duplicate actions.

Sub-teams were also asked to decide how they would finish screening, refining, and scoring if they did not complete the task during breakout sessions.

Breakout Groups for Strategic Goal Review: Sub-teams met in breakout virtual rooms to begin screening, refining, and scoring plan actions.

Round 1 included: Transportation/Land Use, Waste Management, Local Food. Round 2 included: Buildings and Energy, Climate Health and Safety. Round 3 included: Water and Waste Water, Greenspace/Tree, Climate Economy. After rounds 1 and 2 sub-team coordinators reported a summary.

Next Steps: Since sub-teams did not complete screening, refining, and scoring for all actions and did not feel that 3 make-up meetings between 3/24 and 4/14 was feasible, the team along with pBd came up with the following alternative path forward:

1. Sub-team members to review actions, record scores individually and/or do prep for next screening, refining, and scoring meetings (all rounds)
2. Round 3 sub-teams to schedule a screening, refining, and scoring meeting (to complete the work planned for 3/24) before 4/14.
3. The 4/14 meeting agenda will change and the team will use that time to complete screening, refining, and scoring in Round 1 and Round 2 breakout sessions.

Meeting End Time: approximately 8:05PM

Respectfully submitted: Erik Krauss, chair